Serial No. 10/059,099

## REMARKS

In the Office Action, the main independent claims 1, 8, 12 and 17 are rejected as being anticipated based on Nokia's Kontio et al. Moreover, method claims 1 and 8 are now rejected as being indefinite for the reasons set forth in paragraph 6 of the Office Action.

The main independent claims 1, 8, 12 and 17 are amended to recite that the mobile device receives content having a rights expression voucher attached thereto. It is respectfully submitted that the proposed amendment will overcomes the indefiniteness rejection to claims 1 and 8.

Moreover, it is respectfully submitted that Kontio et al. does not teach or suggest a mobile device that receives content having a rights expression voucher attached thereto and personalizes the content based on the rights expression voucher that accompanies the content.

In contrast, paragraph 306 of Kontio et al. discloses that the terminal 1210 in Figure 12 de-encrypts the content previously received from a content server 1260 based on a content encryption key (CEK) received from a voucher server 1250. Moreover, the paragraphs 332-335 disclose that the content and CEK are registered together before distribution. See also paragraphs 330 and 331. However, Kontio et al.' terminal 1210 in Figure 12 first receives the content from the content server 1260, and

Serial No. 10/059,099

later receives the CEK from the voucher server 1250. In effect, the terminal 1210 in Figure 12 does not receive the content having the CEK attached thereto, as claimed. Instead, the content and CEK are received by the terminal 1210 from two completely different devices at two completely different instances of time. Further, at the time of registration, the content is not personalized based on information in the rights expression voucher. In view of this, it is respectfully submitted that the claimed invention is very different from Kontio et al., where the content and CEK are registered together, but later provided separately to the terminal, as discussed above.

The remaining claims depend directly or indirectly from the main independent claims, contain all the limitations thereof, and are deemed patentable for all the reasons set forth above.

Serial No. 10/059,099

For all these reason, reconsideration and early allowance of the claims is earnestly requested.

Respectfully submitted,

William J. Barber

Attorney for the Applicants Registration No. 32,720

/dap
21 August 2006
WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
Customer No. 004955
Bradford Green, Building Five
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, CT 06468
(203) 261-1234